



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2019

Observation of Two Resonances in the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^\pm$ Systems and Precise Measurement of Σ_b^\pm and $\Sigma_b^{*\pm}$ properties

LHCb Collaboration ; Bernet, Roland ; Müller, Katharina ; Owen, Patrick ; Serra, Nicola ; Steinkamp, Olaf ; Vollhardt, Achim ; et al

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.012001>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-180429>

Journal Article

Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

LHCb Collaboration; Bernet, Roland; Müller, Katharina; Owen, Patrick; Serra, Nicola; Steinkamp, Olaf; Vollhardt, Achim; et al (2019). Observation of Two Resonances in the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^\pm$ Systems and Precise Measurement of Σ_b^\pm and $\Sigma_b^{*\pm}$ properties. Physical Review Letters, 122(1):012001.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.012001>

Observation of Two Resonances in the $\Lambda_b^0\pi^\pm$ Systems and Precise Measurement of Σ_b^\pm and $\Sigma_b^{*\pm}$ Properties

R. Aaij *et al.*^{*}
(LHCb Collaboration)



(Received 4 October 2018; published 11 January 2019)

The first observation of two structures consistent with resonances in the final states $\Lambda_b^0\pi^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+$ is reported using samples of pp collision data collected by the LHCb experiment at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 3 fb^{-1} . The ground states Σ_b^\pm and $\Sigma_b^{*\pm}$ are also confirmed and their masses and widths are precisely measured.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.012001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.012001)

Bottom baryons are composed of a b quark and two lighter quarks (bqq'). In the constituent quark model [1,2], such baryon states form multiplets according to the symmetries of their flavor, spin, and spatial wave functions [3]. The Λ_b^0 baryon is the lightest of the bottom baryons and forms an isospin (I) singlet (bud) with spin-parity $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$. Two $I = 1$ triplets with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ (Σ_b) and $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$ (Σ_b^*) are expected, with the spin of the flavor-symmetric qq' diquark $S_{qq'} = 1$. Four of those six states, the Σ_b^\pm and $\Sigma_b^{*\pm}$ baryons (uub and ddb), have been observed by the CDF collaboration [4,5] and reported briefly in a previous LHCb paper [6]. Beyond these ground states, radially and orbitally excited states are expected at higher masses, but only a few excited baryons have been observed in the bottom sector [7–10]. The search for and study of these states will cast light on the internal mechanisms governing the dynamics of the constituent quarks [11,12].

In this Letter, we report the observation of structures in both the $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+$ and $\Lambda_b^0\pi^-$ mass distributions (charge conjugation is implied throughout this Letter) using pp collision data collected by the LHCb experiment at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 3 fb^{-1} . We refer to these new states as $\Sigma_b(6097)^\pm$ in the rest of the Letter. We also measure precisely the masses and widths of the Σ_b^\pm and $\Sigma_b^{*\pm}$ ground states.

The LHCb detector [13,14] is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range $2 < \eta < 5$, designed for the study of particles containing b or c quarks. The detector includes a high-precision tracking system consisting of a silicon-strip vertex detector surrounding the

pp interaction region [15], a large-area silicon-strip detector located upstream of a dipole magnet with a bending power of about 4 Tm, and three stations of silicon-strip detectors and straw drift tubes [16] placed downstream of the magnet. The tracking system provides a measurement of the momentum p of charged particles with a relative uncertainty that varies from 0.5% at low momentum to 1.0% at 200 GeV (natural units with $c = \hbar = 1$ are used throughout this Letter). The momentum scale is calibrated using samples of $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ decays collected concurrently with the data sample used for this analysis [17,18]. The relative accuracy of this procedure is estimated to be 3×10^{-4} using samples of other fully reconstructed b hadrons, K_S^0 , and narrow Υ resonance decays. The minimum distance of a track to a primary vertex (PV), the impact parameter (IP), is measured with a resolution of $(15 + 29/p_T)\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, where p_T is the component of the momentum transverse to the beam in GeV. Different types of charged hadrons are distinguished using information from two ring-imaging Cherenkov detectors [19]. The online event selection is performed by a trigger [20], which consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by a software stage, which applies a full event reconstruction. The software trigger requires a two-, three-, or four-track secondary vertex with significant displacement from all primary pp interaction vertices. A multivariate algorithm [21] is used for the identification of secondary vertices consistent with the decay of a b hadron. Simulated data samples are produced using the software packages described in Refs. [22–26].

Samples of Λ_b^0 candidates are formed from $\Lambda_c^+\pi^-$ combinations, where the Λ_c^+ baryon is reconstructed in the $pK^-\pi^+$ final state. All charged particles used to form the b -hadron candidates are required to have particle-identification information consistent with the appropriate mass hypothesis. Misreconstructed tracks are suppressed by the use of a neural network trained to discriminate

^{*}Full author list given at the end of the article.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

between real and fake particles [27]. To suppress prompt background, all Λ_b^0 decay products are required to have significant χ_{IP}^2 with respect to all PVs in the event, where χ_{IP}^2 is the difference in χ^2 of the vertex fit of a given PV, when a particle is included or excluded from the fit. The reconstructed Λ_c^+ vertex is required to have a good fit quality and to be significantly displaced from all PVs in the event. The reconstructed Λ_c^+ mass must be within a mass window of ± 25 MeV of its known value [28]. Pion candidates that have large χ_{IP}^2 with respect to all PVs are combined with Λ_c^+ candidates to form Λ_b^0 candidates, requiring good vertex-fit quality and separation of the Λ_b^0 decay point from any PV in the event. A boosted decision tree (BDT) discriminant [29,30] is used to further reduce the background. The BDT exploits nineteen topological variables, including the χ_{IP}^2 and p_T values of all the particles in the decay chain, the χ^2 values of the Λ_b^0 and Λ_c^+ decay vertices, their flight-distance significance, and the angle between their momentum and direction of flight, defined by their production and decay vertices. The BDT is trained using simulated Λ_b^0 signal decays and Λ_b^0 candidates in data in the sideband $5800 < m(\Lambda_b^0) < 6000$ MeV. The signal candidates are refitted constraining the mass of the Λ_c^+ to its known value [28] in order to improve the mass resolution [31]. The mass distribution of the selected $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$, $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ candidates is shown in Fig. 1. The mass spectrum is fitted with an asymmetric resolution function for the signal component [32], plus a misreconstructed $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^-$ component whose yield is fixed relative to that of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$, an exponential function for the combinatorial background and an empirical function for partially reconstructed backgrounds as described in Ref. [32]. The resulting Λ_b^0 signal yield is $234\,270 \pm 900$.

The Λ_b^0 candidates contained in a ± 50 MeV window around the peak maximum are then combined with a prompt pion, hereafter referred to as π_s^\pm , to form $\Sigma_b^\pm \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0 \pi^\pm$ combinations (along with $\bar{\Sigma}_b^\mp \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}_b^0 \pi^\mp$). Initially,




FIG. 1. Mass distribution for the selected $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$ candidates. The points show experimental data.

$p_T(\pi_s^\pm) > 200$ MeV and $Q \equiv m(\Lambda_b^0 \pi^\pm) - m(\Lambda_b^0) - m(\pi^\pm) < 200$ MeV are required, where the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^\pm$ mass is recomputed constraining the masses of the Λ_c^+ and Λ_b^0 baryons to their known values [28]. Then the search is extended to higher masses up to $Q = 600$ MeV, observing an additional peak in both $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+$ spectra. A tighter transverse momentum cut $p_T(\pi_s^\pm) > 1000$ MeV is applied to remove the background from prompt pions.

The signal yields and parameters of the Σ_b^\pm , $\Sigma_b^{*\pm}$, and $\Sigma_b(6097)^\pm$ resonances are determined with extended unbinned maximum-likelihood fits to the Q -value distribution. All signal components are modeled as relativistic Breit-Wigner functions [33] including Blatt-Weisskopf form factors [34] with a radius of 4 GeV^{-1} . The orbital angular momentum l between the Λ_b^0 baryon and π_s^\pm candidate is taken to be 1 in all cases. The relativistic Breit-Wigner functions are convolved with the detector resolution and corrected for a small distortion in the shape induced by the p_T requirement on the π_s^\pm meson. The resolution models are determined from simulation, in which the three signal resonances are generated at the Q values found in the data. The root-mean-square values of the resolution functions for Σ_b , Σ_b^* , and $\Sigma_b(6097)$ are 0.99, 1.13, and 2.35 MeV, respectively, all below the visible widths of the mass peaks and consistent with a resolution that scales as \sqrt{Q} . Different empirical parametrizations are used for the two mass ranges. For $0 < Q < 200$ MeV the background shape is described by a smooth threshold function [10,35,36], while for $0 < Q < 600$ MeV a sigmoid function is used, as in Refs. [37,38]. The background shapes are validated by using candidates in the data sidebands for a wide range of p_T requirements. All of the masses, widths, and yields are free to vary in the fit, as are the background parameters; the resolutions of the signal components are fixed to the values found in simulation. The fit models are validated with pseudoexperiments and no significant bias is found on any of the free parameters.

The fits to the data sample are shown in Fig. 2 and the resulting parameters of interest are summarized in Table I. The fit results are also used to determine mass differences and isospin splittings (given below). The two new peaks in $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+$ distributions have local statistical significances of 12.7σ and 12.6σ , respectively, based on the differences in log-likelihood between a fit with zero signal and the nominal fit.

Several sources of systematic uncertainty are considered. The dominant source of systematic uncertainty on the mass measurements comes from the knowledge of the momentum scale. This uncertainty is evaluated by adjusting the momentum scale by the 3×10^{-4} relative uncertainty from the calibration procedure [18] and rerunning the mass fit. This procedure is also validated using a control sample of approximately $3 \times 10^6 D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$ decays, with $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$. The momentum-scale uncertainties largely cancel in




FIG. 2. Mass distribution for selected $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^\pm$ candidates. The points show experimental data. The left (right) column shows $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^-$ ($\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+$) combinations. The top row shows the fits to the lower-mass states Σ_b^\pm and $\Sigma_b^{*\pm}$. The lower row presents the fits to the new mass peaks with the requirement $p_T(\pi_s^\pm) > 1000$ MeV.

TABLE I. Summary of the results of the fits to the $Q \equiv m(\Lambda_b^0 \pi^\pm) - m(\Lambda_b^0) - m(\pi^\pm)$ mass spectra. Q_0 and Γ are the mean and the width of the Breit-Wigner function. The quoted uncertainties are statistical only.

State	Q_0 [MeV]	Γ [MeV]	Yield
Σ_b^-	56.45 ± 0.14	5.33 ± 0.42	3270 ± 180
Σ_b^{*-}	75.54 ± 0.17	10.68 ± 0.60	7460 ± 300
Σ_b^+	51.36 ± 0.11	4.83 ± 0.31	3670 ± 160
Σ_b^{*+}	71.09 ± 0.14	9.34 ± 0.47	7350 ± 260
$\Sigma_b(6097)^-$	338.8 ± 1.7	28.9 ± 4.2	880 ± 100
$\Sigma_b(6097)^+$	336.6 ± 1.7	31.0 ± 5.5	900 ± 110

the mass differences and splittings. A second uncertainty arises from the parameterization of the background and is estimated by varying the function used (e.g., polynomial background functions of different order and other empirical curves). An additional source of uncertainty on the determination of the natural widths arises from known differences in resolution between data and simulation. These are expected to agree within 5%, based on previous studies [8,10,36], and this assumption has been validated with the $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$ control sample. Systematic uncertainties on the widths are assessed by varying the width of the resolution function by $\pm 5\%$. Further uncertainties on the masses and widths arise from the assumed Breit-Wigner parameters. The resonant states are assumed to decay to $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^\pm$ with angular momentum $l = 1$. For the $\Sigma_b(6097)^\pm$ states, fits assuming $l = 0, 2, 3$ are also performed and the largest changes to the fitted parameters with respect to the

TABLE II. Summary of the systematic uncertainties on the measured masses and widths. Q_0 and Γ are the mean and the width of the Breit-Wigner function. All values are in MeV.

Source	Σ_b^-		Σ_b^{*-}		$\Sigma_b(6097)^-$	
	Q_0	Γ	Q_0	Γ	Q_0	Γ
p scale	0.046	0.036	0.047	0.071	0.130	0.013
Resolution	0.001	0.038	0.001	0.033	0.003	0.108
Spin assign.					0.370	0.462
Radius	0.003	0.101	0.010	0.017	0.080	0.081
Background	0.021	0.351	0.033	0.315	0.184	0.798
Total	0.051	0.369	0.058	0.325	0.440	0.932

Source	Σ_b^+		Σ_b^{*+}		$\Sigma_b(6097)^+$	
	Q_0	Γ	Q_0	Γ	Q_0	Γ
p scale	0.039	0.046	0.047	0.045	0.128	0.090
Resolution	0.001	0.040	0.001	0.038	0.002	0.086
Spin assign.					0.113	0.342
Radius	0.001	0.061	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.031
Background	0.027	0.357	0.026	0.256	0.207	0.598
Total	0.047	0.367	0.053	0.263	0.268	0.701

TABLE III. Masses and widths of the $\Sigma_b(6097)^\pm$, $\Sigma_b^{*\pm}$, and Σ_b^\pm baryons. Isospin splittings $\Delta(X^\pm) = m(X^+) - m(X^-)$ and mass differences are also calculated. The first uncertainty is statistical, the second systematic. The systematic uncertainty on m includes the uncertainty from the knowledge of the Λ_b^0 mass [39].

Quantity	Value [MeV]		
$m[\Sigma_b(6097)^-]$	6098.0	1.7	0.5
$m[\Sigma_b(6097)^+]$	6095.8	1.7	0.4
$\Gamma[\Sigma_b(6097)^-]$	28.9	4.2	0.9
$\Gamma[\Sigma_b(6097)^+]$	31.0	5.5	0.7
$m(\Sigma_b^-)$	5815.64	0.14	0.24
$m(\Sigma_b^{*-})$	5834.73	0.17	0.25
$m(\Sigma_b^+)$	5810.55	0.11	0.23
$m(\Sigma_b^{*+})$	5830.28	0.14	0.24
$\Gamma(\Sigma_b^-)$	5.33	0.42	0.37
$\Gamma(\Sigma_b^{*-})$	10.68	0.60	0.33
$\Gamma(\Sigma_b^+)$	4.83	0.31	0.37
$\Gamma(\Sigma_b^{*+})$	9.34	0.47	0.26
$m(\Sigma_b^{*-}) - m(\Sigma_b^-)$	19.09	0.22	0.02
$m(\Sigma_b^{*+}) - m(\Sigma_b^+)$	19.73	0.18	0.01
$\Delta[\Sigma_b(6097)^\pm]$	-2.2	2.4	0.3
$\Delta(\Sigma_b^\pm)$	-5.09	0.18	0.01
$\Delta(\Sigma_b^{*\pm})$	-4.45	0.22	0.01

nominal fit are assigned as systematic uncertainties. The systematic uncertainties are summarized in Table II; in all cases they are much smaller than the statistical uncertainties. All numerical results for the measured masses and widths are presented in Table III. The mass values m are obtained using the most precise LHCb combination for the Λ_b^0 mass, $m(\Lambda_b^0) = 5619.62 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.13$ MeV [39], which dominates by far the current world average [40]. The correlated uncertainties, mainly deriving from the knowledge of the momentum scale which is a common source of systematic uncertainty in all LHCb mass measurements, are propagated as described in Ref. [41]. The isospin splitting of the new states is consistent with zero, although with large experimental uncertainty.

In summary, the first observation of two new mass peaks in the $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+$ and $\Lambda_b^0\pi^-$ systems is reported. These structures are consistent with single resonances described by relativistic Breit-Wigner functions. The ground-state Σ_b^\pm and $\Sigma_b^{*\pm}$ baryons are also confirmed and their masses and widths precisely measured. These values are in good agreement with those measured by the CDF Collaboration [5], with precision improved by a factor of 5. We also quote the mass differences and isospin splittings, for which most of the systematic uncertainties cancel.

In the heavy-quark limit, five $\Sigma_b(1P)$ states are expected. Several predictions of their masses have been made [11,12,42,43], but some or all of these states may be too wide to be accessible experimentally [42]. Since the expected density of baryon states is high, it cannot be excluded that the new structures seen are the superpositions

of more than one (near-)degenerate state. Taking into account that the predicted mass and width depend on the as-yet-unknown spin and parity, the newly observed structures are compatible with being $1P$ excitations. Other interpretations, such as molecular states, may also be possible [44].

We thank Jonathan L. Rosner and Marek Karliner for useful discussions on the interpretation of the theoretical predictions. We express our gratitude to our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC. We thank the technical and administrative staff at the LHCb institutes. We acknowledge support from CERN and from the national agencies: CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ, and FINEP (Brazil); MOST and NSFC (China); CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and MPG (Germany); INFN (Italy); NWO (Netherlands); MNiSW and NCN (Poland); MEN/IFA (Romania); MSHE (Russia); MinECo (Spain); SNSF and SER (Switzerland); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); NSF (USA). We acknowledge the computing resources that are provided by CERN, IN2P3 (France), KIT and DESY (Germany), INFN (Italy), SURF (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), GridPP (United Kingdom), RRCKI and Yandex LLC (Russia), CSCS (Switzerland), IFIN-HH (Romania), CBPF (Brazil), PL-GRID (Poland), and OSC (USA). We are indebted to the communities behind the multiple open-source software packages on which we depend. Individual groups or members have received support from AvH Foundation (Germany); EPLANET, Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions and ERC (European Union); ANR, Labex P2IO, and OCEVU, and Région Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes (France); Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of CAS, CAS PIFI, and the Thousand Talents Program (China); RFBR, RSF, and Yandex LLC (Russia); GVA, XuntaGal, and GENCAT (Spain); the Royal Society and the Leverhulme Trust (United Kingdom); Laboratory Directed Research and Development program of LANL (USA).

-
- [1] M. Gell-Mann, *Phys. Lett.* **8**, 214 (1964).
 - [2] G. Zweig, CERN, Geneva, Technical Report No. CERN-TH.401, 1964.
 - [3] E. Klempt and J.-M. Richard, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 1095 (2010).
 - [4] T. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 202001 (2007).
 - [5] T. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 092011 (2012).
 - [6] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 241801 (2015).
 - [7] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 172003 (2012).
 - [8] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 072002 (2018).

- [9] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 252002 (2012).
- [10] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 062004 (2015).
- [11] K. Thakkar, Z. Shah, A. K. Rai, and P. C. Vinodkumar, *Nucl. Phys.* **A965**, 57 (2017).
- [12] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 014025 (2011).
- [13] A. A. Alves, Jr. *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *J. Instrum.* **3**, S08005 (2008).
- [14] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **30**, 1530022 (2015).
- [15] R. Aaij *et al.*, *J. Instrum.* **9**, P09007 (2014).
- [16] R. Arink *et al.*, *J. Instrum.* **9**, P01002 (2014).
- [17] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 182001 (2013).
- [18] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2013) 065.
- [19] M. Adinolfi *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2431 (2013).
- [20] R. Aaij *et al.*, *J. Instrum.* **8**, P04022 (2013).
- [21] V. V. Gligorov and M. Williams, *J. Instrum.* **8**, P02013 (2013).
- [22] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2006) 026; *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178**, 852 (2008).
- [23] I. Belyaev *et al.*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **331**, 032047 (2011).
- [24] D. J. Lange, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **462**, 152 (2001).
- [25] P. Golonka and Z. Was, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **45**, 97 (2006).
- [26] J. Allison, K. Amako, J. Apostolakis, H. Araujo, P. Dubois *et al.* (Geant4 Collaboration), *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **53**, 270 (2006); S. Agostinelli *et al.* (Geant4 Collaboration), *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **506**, 250 (2003).
- [27] M. De Cian, S. Farry, P. Seyfert, and S. Stahl, Fast neural-net based fake track rejection in the LHCb reconstruction (2017), <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/2255039>.
- [28] C. Patrignani *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *Chin. Phys. C* **40**, 100001 (2016).
- [29] B. P. Roe, H.-J. Yang, J. Zhu, Y. Liu, I. Stancu, and G. McGregor, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **543**, 577 (2005).
- [30] Y. Freund and R. E. Schapire, *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.* **55**, 119 (1997).
- [31] W. D. Hulsbergen, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **552**, 566 (2005).
- [32] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **777**, 16 (2018).
- [33] J. D. Jackson, *Il Nuovo Cimento* **34**, 1644 (1964).
- [34] J. M. Blatt and V. F. Weisskopf, *Theoretical Nuclear Physics* (Springer, New York, 1952).
- [35] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 151803 (2013).
- [36] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 182001 (2017).
- [37] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2013) 115.
- [38] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **714**, 215 (2012).
- [39] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 062001 (2017).
- [40] M. Tanabashi *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 030001 (2018).
- [41] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2016) 132.
- [42] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 074026 (2015).
- [43] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, [arXiv:1808.07869](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.07869).
- [44] W. H. Liang, C. W. Xiao, and E. Oset, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 054023 (2014).

R. Aaij,²⁸ C. Abellán Beteta,⁴⁵ B. Adeva,⁴² M. Adinolfi,⁴⁹ C. A. Aidala,⁷³ Z. Ajaltouni,⁶ S. Akar,⁶⁰ P. Albicocco,¹⁹ J. Albrecht,¹¹ F. Alessio,⁴³ M. Alexander,⁵⁴ A. Alfonso Alberio,⁴¹ G. Alkhazov,³⁴ P. Alvarez Cartelle,⁵⁶ A. A. Alves Jr,⁴² S. Amato,² S. Amerio,²⁴ Y. Amhis,⁸ L. An,³ L. Anderlini,¹⁸ G. Andreassi,⁴⁴ M. Andreotti,¹⁷ J. E. Andrews,⁶¹ R. B. Appleby,⁵⁷ F. Archilli,²⁸ J. Arnau Romeu,⁷ A. Artamonov,⁴⁰ M. Artuso,⁶² K. Arzymatov,³⁸ E. Aslanides,⁷ M. Atzeni,⁴⁵ B. Audurier,²³ S. Bachmann,¹³ J. J. Back,⁵¹ S. Baker,⁵⁶ V. Balagura,^{8,a} W. Baldini,¹⁷ A. Baranov,³⁸ R. J. Barlow,⁵⁷ S. Barsuk,⁸ W. Barter,⁵⁷ F. Baryshnikov,⁷⁰ V. Batozskaya,³² B. Batsukh,⁶² V. Battista,⁴⁴ A. Bay,⁴⁴ J. Beddow,⁵⁴ F. Bedeschi,²⁵ I. Bediaga,¹ A. Beiter,⁶² L. J. Bel,²⁸ S. Belin,²³ N. Bely,⁴ V. Bellee,⁴⁴ N. Belloli,^{21,b} K. Belous,⁴⁰ I. Belyaev,^{35,43} G. Bencivenni,¹⁹ E. Ben-Haim,⁹ S. Benson,²⁸ S. Beranek,¹⁰ A. Berezhnoy,³⁶ R. Bernet,⁴⁵ D. Berninghoff,¹³ E. Bertholet,⁹ A. Bertolin,²⁴ C. Betancourt,⁴⁵ F. Betti,^{16,43} M. O. Bettler,⁵⁰ Ia. Bezshyiko,⁴⁵ S. Bhasin,⁴⁹ J. Bhom,³⁰ S. Bifani,⁴⁸ P. Billoir,⁹ A. Birnkraut,¹¹ A. Bizzeti,^{18,c} M. Björn,⁵⁸ M. P. Blago,⁴³ T. Blake,⁵¹ F. Blanc,⁴⁴ S. Blusk,⁶² D. Bobulska,⁵⁴ V. Bocci,²⁷ O. Boente Garcia,⁴² T. Boettcher,⁵⁹ A. Bondar,^{39,d} N. Bondar,³⁴ S. Borghi,^{57,43} M. Borisyak,³⁸ M. Borsato,⁴² F. Bossu,⁸ M. Boubdir,¹⁰ T. J. V. Bowcock,⁵⁵ C. Bozzi,^{17,43} S. Braun,¹³ M. Brodski,⁴³ J. Brodzicka,³⁰ A. Brossa Gonzalo,⁵¹ D. Brundu,²³ E. Buchanan,⁴⁹ A. Buonaura,⁴⁵ C. Burr,⁵⁷ A. Bursche,²³ J. Buytaert,⁴³ W. Byczynski,⁴³ S. Cadeddu,²³ H. Cai,⁶⁴ R. Calabrese,^{17,e} R. Calladine,⁴⁸ M. Calvi,^{21,b} M. Calvo Gomez,^{41,f} A. Camboni,^{41,f} P. Campana,¹⁹ D. H. Campora Perez,⁴³ L. Capriotti,¹⁶ A. Carbone,^{16,g} G. Carboni,²⁶ R. Cardinale,^{20,h} A. Cardini,²³ P. Carniti,^{21,b} L. Carson,⁵³ K. Carvalho Akiba,² G. Casse,⁵⁵ L. Cassina,²¹ M. Cattaneo,⁴³ G. Cavallero,^{20,h} R. Cenci,^{25,i} D. Chamont,⁸ M. G. Chapman,⁴⁹ M. Charles,⁹ Ph. Charpentier,⁴³ G. Chatzikonstantinidis,⁴⁸ M. Chefdeville,⁵ V. Chekalina,³⁸ C. Chen,³ S. Chen,²³ S.-G. Chitic,⁴³ V. Chobanova,⁴² M. Chrzaszcz,⁴³ A. Chubykin,³⁴ P. Ciambrone,¹⁹ X. Cid Vidal,⁴² G. Ciezarek,⁴³

F. Cindolo,¹⁶ P. E. L. Clarke,⁵³ M. Clemencic,⁴³ H. V. Cliff,⁵⁰ J. Closier,⁴³ V. Coco,⁴³ J. A. B. Coelho,⁸ J. Cogan,⁷
 E. Cogneras,⁶ L. Cojocariu,³³ P. Collins,⁴³ T. Colombo,⁴³ A. Comerma-Montells,¹³ A. Contu,²³ G. Coombs,⁴³
 S. Coquereau,⁴¹ G. Corti,⁴³ M. Corvo,^{17,e} C. M. Costa Sobral,⁵¹ B. Couturier,⁴³ G. A. Cowan,⁵³ D. C. Craik,⁵⁹
 A. Crocombe,⁵¹ M. Cruz Torres,¹ R. Currie,⁵³ F. Da Cunha Marinho,² C. L. Da Silva,⁷⁴ E. Dall'Occo,²⁸ J. Dalseno,⁴⁹
 C. D'Ambrosio,⁴³ A. Danilina,³⁵ P. d'Argent,¹³ A. Davis,³ O. De Aguiar Francisco,⁴³ K. De Bruyn,⁴³ S. De Capua,⁵⁷
 M. De Cian,⁴⁴ J. M. De Miranda,¹ L. De Paula,² M. De Serio,^{15,j} P. De Simone,¹⁹ J. A. de Vries,²⁸ C. T. Dean,⁵⁴ D. Decamp,⁵
 L. Del Buono,⁹ B. Delaney,⁵⁰ H.-P. Dembinski,¹² M. Demmer,¹¹ A. Dendek,³¹ D. Derkach,³⁸ O. Deschamps,⁶ F. Desse,⁸
 F. Dettori,⁵⁵ B. Dey,⁶⁵ A. Di Canto,⁴³ P. Di Nezza,¹⁹ S. Didenko,⁷⁰ H. Dijkstra,⁴³ F. Dordei,⁴³ M. Dorigo,^{43,k} A. C. dos Reis,¹
 A. Dosil Suárez,⁴² L. Douglas,⁵⁴ A. Dovbnya,⁴⁶ K. Dreimanis,⁵⁵ L. Dufour,²⁸ G. Dujany,⁹ P. Durante,⁴³ J. M. Durham,⁷⁴
 D. Dutta,⁵⁷ R. Dzhelyadin,⁴⁰ M. Dziwiecki,¹³ A. Dziurda,³⁰ A. Dzyuba,³⁴ S. Easo,⁵² U. Egede,⁵⁶ V. Egorychev,³⁵
 S. Eidelman,^{39,d} S. Eisenhardt,⁵³ U. Eitschberger,¹¹ R. Ekelhof,¹¹ L. Eklund,⁵⁴ S. Ely,⁶² A. Ene,³³ S. Escher,¹⁰ S. Esen,²⁸
 T. Evans,⁶⁰ A. Falabella,¹⁶ C. Färber,⁴³ N. Farley,⁴⁸ S. Farry,⁵⁵ D. Fazzini,^{21,43,b} L. Federici,²⁶ M. Féo,²⁸
 P. Fernandez Declara,⁴³ A. Fernandez Prieto,⁴² F. Ferrari,¹⁶ L. Ferreira Lopes,⁴⁴ F. Ferreira Rodrigues,² M. Ferro-Luzzi,⁴³
 S. Filippov,³⁷ R. A. Fini,¹⁵ M. Fiorini,^{17,e} M. Firlej,³¹ C. Fitzpatrick,⁴⁴ T. Fiutowski,³¹ F. Fleuret,^{8,a} M. Fontana,^{23,43}
 F. Fontanelli,^{20,h} R. Forty,⁴³ V. Franco Lima,⁵⁵ M. Frank,⁴³ C. Frei,⁴³ J. Fu,^{22,l} W. Funk,⁴³ E. Gabriel,⁵³ A. Gallas Torreira,⁴²
 D. Galli,^{16,g} S. Gallorini,²⁴ S. Gambetta,⁵³ Y. Gan,³ M. Gandelman,² P. Gandini,²² Y. Gao,³ L. M. Garcia Martin,⁷²
 J. García Pardiñas,⁴⁵ B. Garcia Plana,⁴² J. Garra Tico,⁵⁰ L. Garrido,⁴¹ D. Gascon,⁴¹ C. Gaspar,⁴³ L. Gavardi,¹¹ G. Gazzoni,⁶
 D. Gerick,¹³ E. Gersabeck,⁵⁷ M. Gersabeck,⁵⁷ T. Gershon,⁵¹ D. Gerstel,⁷ Ph. Ghez,⁵ S. Gianì,⁴⁴ V. Gibson,⁵⁰ O. G. Girard,⁴⁴
 L. Giubega,³³ K. Gizdov,⁵³ V. V. Gligorov,⁹ C. Göbel,⁶³ D. Golubkov,³⁵ A. Golutvin,^{56,70} A. Gomes,^{1,m} I. V. Gorelov,³⁶
 C. Gotti,^{21,b} E. Govorkova,²⁸ J. P. Grabowski,¹³ R. Graciani Diaz,⁴¹ L. A. Granado Cardoso,⁴³ E. Graugés,⁴¹ E. Graverini,⁴⁵
 G. Graziani,¹⁸ A. Grecu,³³ R. Greim,²⁸ P. Griffith,²³ L. Grillo,⁵⁷ L. Gruber,⁴³ B. R. Gruber Cazon,⁵⁸ O. Grünberg,⁶⁷ C. Gu,³
 E. Gushchin,³⁷ Yu. Guz,^{40,43} T. Gys,⁴³ T. Hadavizadeh,⁵⁸ C. Hadjivasilou,⁶ G. Haefeli,⁴⁴ C. Haen,⁴³ S. C. Haines,⁵⁰
 B. Hamilton,⁶¹ X. Han,¹³ T. H. Hancock,⁵⁸ S. Hansmann-Menzemer,¹³ N. Harnew,⁵⁸ S. T. Harnew,⁴⁹ T. Harrison,⁵⁵
 C. Hasse,⁴³ M. Hatch,⁴³ J. He,⁴ M. Hecker,⁵⁶ K. Heinicke,¹¹ A. Heister,¹¹ K. Hennessy,⁵⁵ L. Henry,⁷² M. Heß,⁶⁷ A. Hicheur,²
 R. Hidalgo Charman,⁵⁷ D. Hill,⁵⁸ M. Hilton,⁵⁷ P. H. Hopchev,⁴⁴ W. Hu,⁶⁵ W. Huang,⁴ Z. C. Huard,⁶⁰ W. Hulsbergen,²⁸
 T. Humair,⁵⁶ M. Hushchyn,³⁸ D. Hutchcroft,⁵⁵ D. Hynds,²⁸ P. Ibis,¹¹ M. Idzik,³¹ P. Ilten,⁴⁸ A. Inyakin,⁴⁰ K. Ivshin,³⁴
 R. Jacobsson,⁴³ J. Jalocho,⁵⁸ E. Jans,²⁸ B. K. Jashal,⁷² A. Jawahery,⁶¹ F. Jiang,³ M. John,⁵⁸ D. Johnson,⁴³ C. R. Jones,⁵⁰
 C. Joram,⁴³ B. Jost,⁴³ N. Jurik,⁵⁸ S. Kandybei,⁴⁶ M. Karacson,⁴³ J. M. Kariuki,⁴⁹ S. Karodia,⁵⁴ N. Kazeev,³⁸ M. Kecke,¹³
 F. Keizer,⁵⁰ M. Kelsey,⁶² M. Kenzie,⁵⁰ T. Ketel,²⁹ E. Khairullin,³⁸ B. Khanji,⁴³ C. Khurewathanakul,⁴⁴ K. E. Kim,⁶²
 T. Kim,¹⁰ S. Klaver,¹⁹ K. Klimaszewski,³² T. Klimovich,¹² S. Koliiev,⁴⁷ M. Kolpin,¹³ R. Kopečna,¹³ P. Koppenburg,²⁸
 I. Kostiuk,²⁸ S. Kotriakhova,³⁴ M. Kozeiha,⁶ L. Kravchuk,³⁷ M. Kreps,⁵¹ F. Kress,⁵⁶ P. Krokovny,^{39,d} W. Krupa,³¹
 W. Krzemien,³² W. Kucewicz,^{30,n} M. Kucharczyk,³⁰ V. Kudryavtsev,^{39,d} A. K. Kuonen,⁴⁴ T. Kvaratskheliya,^{35,43}
 D. Lacarrere,⁴³ G. Lafferty,⁵⁷ A. Lai,²³ D. Lancierini,⁴⁵ G. Lanfranchi,¹⁹ C. Langenbruch,¹⁰ T. Latham,⁵¹ C. Lazzeroni,⁴⁸
 R. Le Gac,⁷ R. Lefèvre,⁶ A. Leflat,³⁶ J. Lefrançois,⁸ F. Lemaître,⁴³ O. Leroy,⁷ T. Lesiak,³⁰ B. Leverington,¹³ P.-R. Li,^{4,o}
 T. Li,³ Z. Li,⁶² X. Liang,⁶² T. Likhomanenko,⁶⁹ R. Lindner,⁴³ F. Lionetto,⁴⁵ V. Lisovskyi,⁸ X. Liu,³ D. Loh,⁵¹ A. Loi,²³
 I. Longstaff,⁵⁴ J. H. Lopes,² G. H. Lovell,⁵⁰ D. Lucchesi,^{24,p} M. Lucio Martinez,⁴² A. Lupato,²⁴ E. Luppi,^{17,e} O. Lupton,⁴³
 A. Lusiani,²⁵ X. Lyu,⁴ F. Machefert,⁸ F. Maciuc,³³ V. Macko,⁴⁴ P. Mackowiak,¹¹ S. Maddrell-Mander,⁴⁹ O. Maev,^{34,43}
 K. Maguire,⁵⁷ D. Maisuzenko,³⁴ M. W. Majewski,³¹ S. Malde,⁵⁸ B. Malecki,³⁰ A. Malinin,⁶⁹ T. Maltsev,^{39,d} G. Manca,^{23,q}
 G. Mancinelli,⁷ D. Marangotto,^{22,i} J. Maratas,^{6,r} J. F. Marchand,⁵ U. Marconi,¹⁶ C. Marin Benito,⁸ M. Marinangeli,⁴⁴
 P. Marino,⁴⁴ J. Marks,¹³ P. J. Marshall,⁵⁵ G. Martellotti,²⁷ M. Martin,⁷ M. Martinelli,⁴³ D. Martinez Santos,⁴²
 F. Martinez Vidal,⁷² A. Massafferri,¹ M. Materok,¹⁰ R. Matev,⁴³ A. Mathad,⁵¹ Z. Mathe,⁴³ C. Matteuzzi,²¹ A. Mauri,⁴⁵
 E. Maurice,^{8,a} B. Maurin,⁴⁴ A. Mazurov,⁴⁸ M. McCann,^{56,43} A. McNab,⁵⁷ R. McNulty,¹⁴ J. V. Mead,⁵⁵ B. Meadows,⁶⁰
 C. Meaux,⁷ F. Meier,¹¹ N. Meinert,⁶⁷ D. Melnychuk,³² M. Merk,²⁸ A. Merli,^{22,i} E. Michielin,²⁴ D. A. Milanese,⁶⁶ E. Millard,⁵¹
 M.-N. Minard,⁵ L. Minzoni,^{17,e} D. S. Mitzel,¹³ A. Mödden,¹¹ A. Mogini,⁹ J. Molina Rodriguez,^{1,s} T. Mombächer,¹¹
 I. A. Monroy,⁶⁶ S. Monteil,⁶ M. Morandin,²⁴ G. Morello,¹⁹ M. J. Morello,^{25,t} O. Morgunova,⁶⁹ J. Moron,³¹ A. B. Morris,⁷
 R. Mountain,⁶² F. Muheim,⁵³ M. Mulder,²⁸ D. Müller,⁴³ J. Müller,¹¹ K. Müller,⁴⁵ V. Müller,¹¹ C. H. Murphy,⁵⁸ D. Murray,⁵⁷
 P. Naik,⁴⁹ T. Nakada,⁴⁴ R. Nandakumar,⁵² A. Nandi,⁵⁸ T. Nanut,⁴⁴ I. Nasteva,⁵³ M. Needham,^{22,i} S. Neri,¹⁰ S. Neubert,¹³
 N. Neufeld,⁴³ M. Neuner,¹³ R. Newcombe,⁵⁶ T. D. Nguyen,⁴⁴ C. Nguyen-Mau,^{44,u} S. Nieswand,¹⁰ R. Niet,¹¹ N. Nikitin,³⁶
 A. Nogay,⁶⁹ N. S. Nolte,⁴³ A. Oblakowska-Mucha,³¹ V. Obraztsov,⁴⁰ S. Ogilvy,⁵⁴ D. P. O'Hanlon,¹⁶ R. Oldeman,^{23,q}

C. J. G. Onderwater,⁶⁸ A. Ossowska,³⁰ J. M. Otalora Goicochea,² P. Owen,⁴⁵ A. Oyanguren,⁷² P. R. Pais,⁴⁴ T. Pajero,^{25,t} A. Palano,¹⁵ M. Palutan,^{19,43} G. Panshin,⁷¹ A. Papanestis,⁵² M. Pappagallo,⁵³ L. L. Pappalardo,^{17,e} W. Parker,⁶¹ C. Parkes,⁵⁷ G. Passaleva,^{18,43} A. Pastore,¹⁵ M. Patel,⁵⁶ C. Patrignani,^{16,g} A. Pearce,⁴³ A. Pellegrino,²⁸ G. Penso,²⁷ M. Pepe Altarelli,⁴³ S. Perazzini,⁴³ D. Pereima,³⁵ P. Perret,⁶ L. Pescatore,⁴⁴ K. Petridis,⁴⁹ A. Petrolini,^{20,h} A. Petrov,⁶⁹ S. Petrucci,⁵³ M. Petruzzo,^{22,i} B. Pietrzyk,⁵ G. Pietrzyk,⁴⁴ M. Pikiel,³⁰ M. Pili,⁵⁸ D. Pinci,²⁷ J. Pinzino,⁴³ F. Pisani,⁴³ A. Piucci,¹³ V. Placinta,³³ S. Playfer,⁵³ J. Plews,⁴⁸ M. Plo Casasus,⁴² F. Polci,⁹ M. Poli Lener,¹⁹ A. Poluektov,⁵¹ N. Polukhina,^{70,v} I. Polyakov,⁶² E. Polcarpo,² G. J. Pomery,⁴⁹ S. Ponce,⁴³ A. Popov,⁴⁰ D. Popov,^{48,12} S. Poslavskii,⁴⁰ C. Potterat,² E. Price,⁴⁹ J. Prisciandaro,⁴² C. Prouve,⁴⁹ V. Pugatch,⁴⁷ A. Puig Navarro,⁴⁵ H. Pullen,⁵⁸ G. Punzi,^{25,i} W. Qian,⁴ J. Qin,⁴ R. Quagliani,⁹ B. Quintana,⁶ N. V. Raab,¹⁴ B. Rachwal,³¹ J. H. Rademacker,⁴⁹ M. Rama,²⁵ M. Ramos Pernas,⁴² M. S. Rangel,² F. Ratnikov,^{38,w} G. Raven,²⁹ M. Ravonel Salzgeber,⁴³ M. Reboud,⁵ F. Redi,⁴⁴ S. Reichert,¹¹ F. Reiss,⁹ C. Remon Alepuz,⁷² Z. Ren,³ V. Renaudin,⁸ S. Ricciardi,⁵² S. Richards,⁴⁹ K. Rinnert,⁵⁵ P. Robbe,⁸ A. Robert,⁹ A. B. Rodrigues,⁴⁴ E. Rodrigues,⁶⁰ J. A. Rodriguez Lopez,⁶⁶ M. Roehrken,⁴³ S. Roiser,⁴³ A. Rollings,⁵⁸ V. Romanovskiy,⁴⁰ A. Romero Vidal,⁴² M. Rotondo,¹⁹ M. S. Rudolph,⁶² T. Ruf,⁴³ J. Ruiz Vidal,⁷² J. J. Saborido Silva,⁴² N. Sagidova,³⁴ B. Saitta,^{23,q} V. Salustino Guimaraes,⁶³ C. Sanchez Gras,²⁸ C. Sanchez Mayordomo,⁷² B. Sanmartin Sedes,⁴² R. Santacesaria,²⁷ C. Santamarina Rios,⁴² M. Santimaria,¹⁹ E. Santovetti,^{26,x} G. Sarpis,⁵⁷ A. Sarti,^{19,y} C. Satriano,^{27,z} A. Satta,²⁶ M. Saur,⁴ D. Savrina,^{35,36} S. Schael,¹⁰ M. Schellenberg,¹¹ M. Schiller,⁵⁴ H. Schindler,⁴³ M. Schmelling,¹² T. Schmelzer,¹¹ B. Schmidt,⁴³ O. Schneider,⁴⁴ A. Schopper,⁴³ H. F. Schreiner,⁶⁰ M. Schubiger,⁴⁴ M. H. Schune,⁸ R. Schwemmer,⁴³ B. Sciascia,¹⁹ A. Sciubba,^{27,y} A. Semennikov,³⁵ E. S. Sepulveda,⁹ A. Sergi,^{48,43} N. Serra,⁴⁵ J. Serrano,⁷ L. Sestini,²⁴ A. Seuthe,¹¹ P. Seyfert,⁴³ M. Shapkin,⁴⁰ Y. Shcheglov,^{34,b} T. Shears,⁵⁵ L. Shekhtman,^{39,d} V. Shevchenko,⁶⁹ E. Shmanin,⁷⁰ B. G. Siddi,¹⁷ R. Silva Coutinho,⁴⁵ L. Silva de Oliveira,² G. Simi,^{24,p} S. Simone,^{15,j} I. Skiba,¹⁷ N. Skidmore,¹³ T. Skwarnicki,⁶² M. W. Slater,⁴⁸ J. G. Smeaton,⁵⁰ E. Smith,¹⁰ I. T. Smith,⁵³ M. Smith,⁵⁶ M. Soares,¹⁶ I. Soares Lavra,¹ M. D. Sokoloff,⁶⁰ F. J. P. Soler,⁵⁴ B. Souza De Paula,² B. Spaan,¹¹ E. Spadaro Norella,^{22,i} P. Spradlin,⁵⁴ F. Stagni,⁴³ M. Stahl,¹³ S. Stahl,⁴³ P. Stefko,⁴⁴ S. Stefkova,⁵⁶ O. Steinkamp,⁴⁵ S. Stemmler,¹³ O. Stenyakin,⁴⁰ M. Stepanova,³⁴ H. Stevens,¹¹ A. Stocchi,⁸ S. Stone,⁶² B. Storaci,⁴⁵ S. Stracka,²⁵ M. E. Stramaglia,⁴⁴ M. Straticiu,³³ U. Straumann,⁴⁵ S. Strokov,⁷¹ J. Sun,³ L. Sun,⁶⁴ K. Swientek,³¹ T. Szumlak,³¹ M. Szymanski,⁴ Z. Tang,³ A. Tayduganov,⁷ T. Tekampe,¹¹ G. Tellarini,¹⁷ F. Teubert,⁴³ E. Thomas,⁴³ M. J. Tilley,⁵⁶ V. Tisserand,⁶ S. T'Jampens,⁵ M. Tobin,³¹ S. Tolk,⁴³ L. Tomassetti,^{17,e} D. Tonelli,²⁵ D. Y. Tou,⁹ R. Tourinho Jadallah Aoude,¹ E. Tournefier,⁵ M. Traill,⁵⁴ M. T. Tran,⁴⁴ A. Trisovic,⁵⁰ A. Tsaregorodtsev,⁷ G. Tuci,^{25,i} A. Tully,⁵⁰ N. Tuning,^{28,43} A. Ukleja,³² A. Usachov,⁸ A. Ustyuzhanin,³⁸ U. Uwer,¹³ A. Vagner,⁷¹ V. Vagnoni,¹⁶ A. Valassi,⁴³ S. Valat,⁴³ G. Valenti,¹⁶ M. van Beuzekom,²⁸ E. van Herwijnen,⁴³ J. van Tilburg,²⁸ M. van Veghel,²⁸ R. Vazquez Gomez,⁴³ P. Vazquez Regueiro,⁴² C. Vázquez Sierra,²⁸ S. Vecchi,¹⁷ J. J. Velthuis,⁴⁹ M. Veltri,^{18,aa} G. Veneziano,⁵⁸ A. Venkateswaran,⁶² T. A. Verlage,¹⁰ M. Vernet,⁶ M. Veronesi,²⁸ M. Vesterinen,⁵⁸ J. V. Viana Barbosa,⁴³ D. Vieira,⁴ M. Vieites Diaz,⁴² H. Viemann,⁶⁷ X. Vilasis-Cardona,^{41,f} A. Vitkovskiy,²⁸ M. Vitti,⁵⁰ V. Volkov,³⁶ A. Vollhardt,⁴⁵ D. Vom Bruch,⁹ B. Voneki,⁴³ A. Vorobyev,³⁴ V. Vorobyev,^{39,d} N. Voropaev,³⁴ R. Waldi,⁶⁷ J. Walsh,²⁵ J. Wang,⁶² M. Wang,³ Y. Wang,⁶⁵ Z. Wang,⁴⁵ D. R. Ward,⁵⁰ H. M. Wark,⁵⁵ N. K. Watson,⁴⁸ D. Websdale,⁵⁶ A. Weiden,⁴⁵ C. Weisser,⁵⁹ M. Whitehead,¹⁰ J. Wicht,⁵¹ G. Wilkinson,⁵⁸ M. Wilkinson,⁶² I. Williams,⁵⁰ M. Williams,⁵⁹ M. R. J. Williams,⁵⁷ T. Williams,⁴⁸ F. F. Wilson,^{52,43} J. Wimberley,⁶¹ M. Winn,⁸ J. Wishahi,¹¹ W. Wislicki,³² M. Witek,³⁰ G. Wormser,⁸ S. A. Wotton,⁵⁰ K. Wyllie,⁴³ D. Xiao,⁶⁵ Y. Xie,⁶⁵ A. Xu,³ M. Xu,⁶⁵ Q. Xu,⁴ Z. Xu,⁵ Z. Xu,³ Z. Yang,³ Z. Yang,⁶¹ Y. Yao,⁶² L. E. Yeomans,⁵⁵ H. Yin,⁶⁵ J. Yu,^{65,bb} X. Yuan,⁶² O. Yushchenko,⁴⁰ K. A. Zarebski,⁴⁸ M. Zavertyaev,^{12,v} D. Zhang,⁶⁵ L. Zhang,³ W. C. Zhang,^{3,cc} Y. Zhang,⁸ A. Zhelezov,¹³ Y. Zheng,⁴ X. Zhu,³ V. Zhukov,^{10,36} J. B. Zonneveld,⁵³ and S. Zucchelli¹⁶

(LHCb Collaboration)

¹Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil²Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil³Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China⁴University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China⁵Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IN2P3-LAPP, Annecy, France⁶Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France⁷Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France⁸LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France

- ⁹*LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France*
- ¹⁰*I. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany*
- ¹¹*Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany*
- ¹²*Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg, Germany*
- ¹³*Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany*
- ¹⁴*School of Physics, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*
- ¹⁵*INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- ¹⁶*INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- ¹⁷*INFN Sezione di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy*
- ¹⁸*INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
- ¹⁹*INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
- ²⁰*INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy*
- ²¹*INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
- ²²*INFN Sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy*
- ²³*INFN Sezione di Cagliari, Monserrato, Italy*
- ²⁴*INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy*
- ²⁵*INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
- ²⁶*INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy*
- ²⁷*INFN Sezione di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy*
- ²⁸*Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands*
- ²⁹*Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands*
- ³⁰*Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland*
- ³¹*AGH - University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Kraków, Poland*
- ³²*National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland*
- ³³*Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania*
- ³⁴*Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute (PNPI), Gatchina, Russia*
- ³⁵*Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia*
- ³⁶*Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University (SINP MSU), Moscow, Russia*
- ³⁷*Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences (INR RAS), Moscow, Russia*
- ³⁸*Yandex School of Data Analysis, Moscow, Russia*
- ³⁹*Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics (SB RAS), Novosibirsk, Russia*
- ⁴⁰*Institute for High Energy Physics (IHEP), Protvino, Russia*
- ⁴¹*ICCUB, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain*
- ⁴²*Instituto Galego de Física de Altas Enerxías (IGFAE), Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain*
- ⁴³*European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland*
- ⁴⁴*Institute of Physics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland*
- ⁴⁵*Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland*
- ⁴⁶*NSC Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology (NSC KIPT), Kharkiv, Ukraine*
- ⁴⁷*Institute for Nuclear Research of the National Academy of Sciences (KINR), Kyiv, Ukraine*
- ⁴⁸*University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom*
- ⁴⁹*H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁰*Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom*
- ⁵¹*Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom*
- ⁵²*STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*
- ⁵³*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁴*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁵*Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁶*Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁷*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁸*Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁹*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA*
- ⁶⁰*University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA*
- ⁶¹*University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA*
- ⁶²*Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York, USA*
- ⁶³*Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
[associated with Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil]*
- ⁶⁴*School of Physics and Technology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China
(associated with Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China)*
- ⁶⁵*Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei, China
(associated with Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China)*

⁶⁶*Departamento de Fisica, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogota, Colombia*
(associated with LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France)

⁶⁷*Institut für Physik, Universität Rostock, Rostock, Germany*
(associated with Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany)

⁶⁸*Van Swinderen Institute, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands*
(associated with Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands)

⁶⁹*National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia*
(associated with Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia)

⁷⁰*National University of Science and Technology “MISIS”, Moscow, Russia*
(associated with Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia)

⁷¹*National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia*
(associated with Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia)

⁷²*Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, Valencia, Spain*
(associated with ICCUB, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain)

⁷³*University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, United States*
(associated with Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York, USA)

⁷⁴*Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, United States*
(associated with Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York, USA)

[†]Deceased.

^aAlso at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Palaiseau, France.

^bAlso at Università di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy.

^cAlso at Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy.

^dAlso at Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia.

^eAlso at Università di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy.

^fAlso at LIFAELS, La Salle, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain.

^gAlso at Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy.

^hAlso at Università di Genova, Genova, Italy.

ⁱAlso at Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy.

^jAlso at Università di Bari, Bari, Italy.

^kAlso at Sezione INFN di Trieste, Trieste, Italy.

^lAlso at Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy.

^mAlso at Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (UFTM), Uberaba-MG, Brazil.

ⁿAlso at AGH - University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Kraków, Poland.

^oAlso at Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China.

^pAlso at Università di Padova, Padova, Italy.

^qAlso at Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy.

^rAlso at MSU - Iligan Institute of Technology (MSU-IIT), Iligan, Philippines.

^sAlso at Escuela Agrícola Panamericana, San Antonio de Oriente, Honduras.

^tAlso at Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy.

^uAlso at Hanoi University of Science, Hanoi, Vietnam.

^vAlso at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Science (LPI RAS), Moscow, Russia.

^wAlso at National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia.

^xAlso at Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy.

^yAlso at Università di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy.

^zAlso at Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy.

^{aa}Also at Università di Urbino, Urbino, Italy.

^{bb}Also at Physics and Micro Electronic College, Hunan University, Changsha City, China.

^{cc}Also at School of Physics and Information Technology, Shaanxi Normal University (SNNU), Xi'an, China.